

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/635,400

Applicant(s)

MOHR ET AL.

Examiner

Christina Ildebrando

Art Unit

1725

All Participants:(1) Christina Ildebrando.(2) Mr. Ed Sherer.**Status of Application:** New

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

25, 27-28, 30, 37, 39, 41, 48, and 53-54


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 25 was cancelled and claims 27-28, 30, 37, 39, 41, 48, and 53-54 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to clarify the language of the claims and to correct dependencies. Claim 25 was cancelled because it failed to further limit claim 22. Applicant's representative, Mr. Sherer, agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..